

PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH

Review Petition No. 02 of 2021
In Petition No. 41 of 2020
Date of Hearing: 11.05.2023
Date of Order: 15.05.2023

Review Application under Section 94 (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act' 2003) read with Rules 64 & 69 of the Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Regulations 2005') for reviewing/recalling the order of this Hon'ble Commission dated 12.04.2021 passed in Petition No. 41 of 2020 titled as M/s Indian Sucrose Ltd. Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and another.

In the matter of: M/s Indian Sucrose Ltd. having its registered office at G.T. Road, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab through its authorized signatory Sh. Ved Prakash Gupta, Vice President of the Company.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, (PSPCL), The Mall, Patiala
2. Punjab Energy Development Agency, (PEDA), Sector 33-D, Chandigarh

.....Respondents

Commission: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson
Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

Petitioner: Tajinder Joshi, Advocate

PSPCL: Ms. Poorva Saigal, Advocate
Sh. Ajay Bansal, Dy.CE
Sh. J.K Jindal, ASE

PEDA: Sh. Aditya Grover, Advocate

ORDER

The second Review Petition initiated suo-motu by the Commission on the directions issued by the Hon'ble APTEL in its remand order dated 09.02.2023 was taken up for hearing. PEDA and PSPCL have filed their submissions further to the Commission's notice dated 22.02.2023.

Ld. Counsel for PEDDA stated that there had been some misunderstanding during the hearing of first review petition and that PEDDA's view had been that the petitioner should approach PEDDA for considering their request for extension of IA. The Ld. Counsel for M/s Indian Sucrose Ltd. submitted that he has been engaged only the day before and requested for time to file written submissions. Ld. Counsel for PSPCL also stated that they were to file some additional submissions since, they have already terminated the earlier PPA and now another agreement has been signed with the petitioner company and power is being supplied to PSPCL at a revised rate and PEDDA could no longer consider the issue of extending the I.A since the earlier PPA stands terminated. Counsel for M/s Indian Sucrose stated that the project stands completed and is supplying power to PSPCL. He however, disputed the statements of both the opposing counsels for PEDDA and PSPCL and sought time to file his submissions.

Considering these requests, the Commission allows all parties, the petitioner and both respondents to file their respective written submissions within two weeks with a copy each other (through hard copy & soft copy).

The Review Petition shall be taken up for hearing on 07.06.2023 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
(Paramjeet Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Viswajeet Khanna)
Chairperson

Chandigarh
Dated: 15.05.2023